

CTNI-09 Type II RAF inhibitor tovorafenib in relapsed/refractory (r/r) pediatric low-grade glioma (pLGG): Results from patients on a drug holiday (DH) in the phase 2 FIREFLY-1 trial

Sébastien Perreault,¹ Dong-Anh Khuong-Quang,² Lindsay B. Kilburn,³ Daniel B. Landi,⁴ Sarah E. S. Leary,⁵ Simon Bailey,⁶ Valérie Larouche,⁷ Karsten Nysom,⁸ Pablo Hernáiz Driever,⁹ Patricia A. Baxter,¹⁰ Olaf Witt,^{11–15} Michal Yalon Oren,¹⁶ Mohamed S. Abdelbaki,¹⁷ Cassie Kline,¹⁸ Nicholas S. Whipple,¹⁹ David S. Ziegler,^{20–22} Jasper van der Lugt,²³ Timothy E. Hassall,²⁴ Nicolas U. Gerber,²⁵ Devorah Segal,²⁶ Jordan R. Hansford,^{27,28} Geoffrey McCowage,²⁹ Hyoung Jin Kang,³⁰ Jung Woo Han,³¹ Darren Hargrave,³² Andrea T. Franson,³³ Helen Toledano,³⁴ Nada Jabado,³⁵ Nicholas G. Gottardo,³⁶ Susan N. Chi,³⁷ Liat Oren,³⁸ Enrica E. K. Tan,³⁹ Sabine Mueller,⁴⁰ Molly E. Hoke,⁴¹ Yeonhee Kim,⁴¹ Ashley Walter,⁴¹ Daniel Da Costa,⁴¹ Peter Manley,⁴¹ Angela J. Waanders⁴²

¹Department of Neurosciences, Division of Child Neurology, CHU Sainte-Justine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Montréal, Ruebec, Canada; ²Children's Neurology, CHU Sainte-Justine, Universite de Montréal, Neurology, CHU Sainte-Justine, University, Durham, NC, USA; ⁵Cancer and Blood Disorders Center, Seattle Children's Neurology, CHU Sainte-Justine, University, Durham, NC, USA; ⁶Great North Children's Hospital and Newcastle University Centre for Cancer, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK; 7Department of Pediatrics, Centre Mère-Enfant Soleil du CHU de Québec-Universitätsmedizin Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin and Humboldt-Universität Berlin, German HIT-LOGGIC-Registry for LGG in Children and Adolescents, Berlin, Germany; ¹⁰Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; ¹¹Hopp Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; ¹¹Hopp Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; ¹¹Hopp Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; ¹⁰Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA; ¹⁰Texas Children's Cancer Center, Texas Children's Cancer Cente Heidelberg University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; ¹⁶Pediatric Hematology and Oncology, St. Louis Children's Hospital, Washington University, School of Medicine in St. Louis, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA; ¹⁸Division of Hematology and Oncology, St. Louis Children's Hospital, Washington University, School of Medicine in St. Louis, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA; ¹⁸Division of Hematology and Oncology, St. Louis Children's Hospital, Washington University, School of Medicine in St. Louis, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA; ¹⁸Division of Hematology and Oncology, St. Louis Children's Hospital, Washington University, School of Medicine in St. Louis, Washington Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ¹⁹Primary Children's Cancer Centre, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ²¹Children's Cancer Institute, Lowy Cancer Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ²¹Children's Cancer Institute, Lowy Cancer Research Centre, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia; ²¹Children's Hospital, Randwick, New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales, S Australia; ²³Department of Oncology, University Children's Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁴Children's Health Queensland Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁴Children's Health Queensland Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁴Children's Health Queensland; ²⁴Children's Health Service, South Brisbane, QLD, Australia; ²³Princess Máxima Center for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁶NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁷ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, Zurich, Switzerland; ²⁸NYU Langone Health, New York, NY, USA; ²⁹ Michael Rice Centre for Hematology and Hospital, ²⁹NYU Langone Health, ²⁹NYU Langone Health, ²⁹NYU Langone, ²⁹ Oncology, Women's and Children's Hospitals, Australia; ²⁹Sydney Children's Hospitals, Australia; ²⁸South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; Beatch Institute, University of Adelaide, South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; South Australia; Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; Beatch Institute, Beatch Institute, Adelaide, South Australia; Beatch Institute, Beatch University Cancer Research Institute, Seoul National University Children's Hospital, Seoul, Republic of Korea; ³¹Severance Hospital, University of Michigan Medical School, Ann Arbor, MI, USA; ³⁴Department of Pediatric Oncology, Schneider Children's Medical Center, Petach Tikva, and Faculty of Medicine, Tel Aviv, Israel; ³⁵McGill University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ³⁵McGill University, Tel Aviv, Israel; ³⁶Department of Pediatric and Adolescent Oncology and Hematology, Perth Children's Hospital, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Cancer Centre, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Brain Tumor Research Program, Telethon Kids Institute, University of Western Australia, and Issue au Institute, University of Western Australia, and Issue au Institute, Issue au Inst Perth, Western Australia, Australia, Australia; ³⁷Pediatric Neuro-Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Dana-Farber/Boston Children's Hospital, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore; ⁴⁰Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; ⁴¹Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Brisbane, CA, USA; ⁴²Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital, Chicago, IL, USA

29th Annual Meeting of the Society for Neuro-Oncology 2024, November 21–24; Houston, Texas.

Presenting author: Sébastien Perreault, MD, MSc, *s.perreault@umontreal.ca*

Background	Results		
• At 30%, pLGGs are the most common pediatric brain tumor; <i>BRAF</i> alterations	Figure 2. Duration of treatment, follow-up, and tovorafenib retreatment	Figure 3. Tumor progression while on a DH followed by tovorafenib rechallenge	
 Tovorafenib is an oral, selective, CNS-penetrant, type II RAF inhibitor active against monomeric (class I alterations) and dimeric (class II alterations, including fusions) forms of RAF signaling⁶ 		Patient 1: 10-year-old boy with a BRAF V600E posterior optic, brainstem, and thalamus tumor Months -36 -24 -12 0 12 24 36	
D_{0}		CB/VCR Dabrafenib/Trametinib Primary tovorafenib treatment R	

- voseu unice-weekiy (QVV) anu is avaliable as lablets anu a peulatric-menul oral suspension⁷
- Results from the ongoing FIREFLY-1 (NCT04775485) phase 2 in patients with r/r BRAF-altered pLGG demonstrated that tovorafenib provided clinically meaningful tumor responses and had a manageable safety profile (June 5, 2023 data cutoff)⁷
- In April 2024, the FDA granted accelerated approval for patients ≥ 6 months of age with r/r *BRAF*-altered pLGG⁸
- Patients in FIREFLY-1 arms 1 and 2 had the option of entering a drug-holiday (DH) period after 26 cycles (~24 months) of treatment with tovorafenib or to continue treatment until disease progression⁷

Objectives

- Assess stability of response and need for additional treatment in patients from FIREFLY-1 arm 1 who entered a DH
- Determine if patients can be rechallenged with tovorafenib upon PD when in a DH

Methods

- The trial design for FIREFLY-1 has been described previously; 77 patients were enrolled in arm 1⁷
 - Patients had routine radiographic tumor assessments every ~3 months while on treatment, during a DH, during retreatment, or if they discontinued treatment for reasons other than PD (up to start of subsequent therapy or end of study); one of the criteria used was RAPNO (Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology) by independent radiology review committee (IRC)
 - Those with radiographic evidence of progression could continue treatment if, in the opinion of the investigator and approved by the sponsor, they were deriving clinical benefit; assessments continued per the regular schedule



- Initiated treatment with tovorafenib 600 mg QW for 23.7 months. BOR of SD (-55.3%) at 3 months on primary tovorafenib treatment
- All AEs were mild or moderate (none severe) and non-serious; TRAEs included G2 anemia, rash, growth failure, generalized skin lesions, increased CPK, and paronychia, and G1 fatigue, hair color change, aphthous ulcers, AV block, photosensitivity reaction, increased LFTs, hypophosphatemia, and hypocalcemia
- While on a DH, progressed within 1 month (INV RANO-HGG; ≥25% in tumor size); clinical status was deteriorating
- Rechallenged with tovorafenib 600 mg QW. At first assessment, SPPD decrease of 49%*; subsequent clinical status improved
 - Retreatment ongoing for 5+ months at time of data cutoff
- All AEs during retreatment with tovorafenib were mild or moderate (none severe) and non-serious; clinically relevant TRAEs included G1 rash, alopecia, increased CPK, and increased LDH



Left basal ganglia; T2 MRI sequences

AE, adverse events; AV, atrioventricular; BOR, best overall response; CB, carboplatin; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; G, grade; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; LFT, liver function test; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; R, retreatment; SD, stable disease; SPPD, Sum of Perpendicular Diameters; TRAEs, treatment-related adverse events; VCR, vincristine.







- During a DH, tovorafenib retreatment could begin based on radiographic progression per INV RANO-HGG (investigator assessed Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology-High Grade Glioma) and/or clinical progression
- The treatment status for patients in FIREFLY-1 arm 1 is shown in **Figure 1**; this analysis examined patients on a DH and received ≥ 2 years of tovorafenib (May 10, 2024 data cutoff)

Figure 1. FIREFLY-1 arm 1 Treatment status Arm 1 (pLGG registrational), n=77 Protocol-defined DH, n=33 (43%)* Still on primary tovorafenib treatment, n=10 (13%) Discontinued treatment, n=34 (44%) Discontinued due to PD, n=10 (13%) Discontinued for reasons other than PD, n=24 (31%)t cludes one patient who received treatment through cycle 18, day 1 and subsequently went on a prolonged dose hold until the protocol-defined DH timepoint after mpletion of 27 cycles. !Reasons included patient/caregiver choice (n=9), adverse event (AE) (n=8), physician choice (n=2), death deemed unrelated to tovorafenib (n=2; urologic due to PD; other was unexplained), other (n=2), and prolonged dose hold (23 months) (n=1).				
Arm 1 (pLGG registrational), n=77 Protocol-defined DH, n=33 (43%)* Still on primary tovorafenib treatment, n=10 (13%) Discontinued treatment, n=34 (44%) Discontinued due to PD, n=10 (13%) Discontinued for reasons other than PD, n=24 (31%)*	Figur	e 1. FIREFLY-1 arm 1 Trea	ntment status	
Discontinued due to PD, n=10 (13%) Discontinued for reasons other than PD, n=24 (31%) ⁺ Discontinued for reasons other than PD, n=24 (31%) ⁺ Discontinued for reasons other than PD, n=24 (31%) ⁺ Pletion of 27 cycles. †Reasons included patient/caregiver choice (n=9), adverse event (AE) (n=8), physician choice (n=2), death deemed unrelated to tovorafenib (n=2; prologic due to PD; other was unexplained), other (n=2), and prolonged dose hold (≥3 months) (n=1). Results	Protocol-defined DH, n=33 (43%)*	Arm 1 (pLGG registrational), n=77 Still on primary tovorafenib treatment, n=10 (13%)	Discontinued treatment (44%)	:, n=34
ologic due to PD; other was unexplained), other (n=2), and prolonged dose hold (≥3 months) (n=1).	udes one patient who received treatment throu pletion of 27 cycles. †Reasons included patient	gh cycle 18, day 1 and subsequently went on a prolong /caregiver choice (n=9), adverse event (AE) (n=8), phy	d due to PD, (13%) ged dose hold until the protocol-def vician choice (n=2), death deemed	scontinued for reasons or than PD, n=24 (31%) [†] ined DH timepoint after unrelated to toyorafenib (n=2: 1
Results	ologic due to PD; other was unexplained), othe	r (n=2), and prolonged dose hold (\geq 3 months) (n=1).		
		Results		
Table 1. Patient and baseline characteristics	Table	1. Patient and baseline c	haracteristics	

DH (n=33)



As of the May 10, 2024 data cutoff

- 97% (32/33) of patients had no signs of clinical progression during a DH
- 15% (5/33) of patients experienced an additional ≥25% tumor shrinkage while on a DH

Table 2. Duration of primary tovorafenib treatment, DH, and need for retreatment

	n	DH

Median treatment duration, months (range) 33

32* Median follow-up since end of treatment, months (range)

- −79 to −72 BVZ/IRI Vemurafenik Primary tovorafenib treatment
 - Initiated treatment with tovorafenib 600 mg QW for 24.6 months. BOR of PR (-67.1%) on primary tovorafenib treatment; TTR 2.73 months
 - Clinically relevant TRAEs included G2 keratosis pilaris, hypophosphatemia, and hypokalemia, and G1 increased CPK, hair color change, rash, anemia, and hypocalcemia
 - While on a DH, radiographic progression (INV RANO-HGG; ≥25% in tumor size) with no symptoms after 3 months
 - Rechallenged with tovorafenib 600 mg QW. Subsequent clinical status was stable
 - Retreatment ongoing for 1+ month at time of data cutoff; will be evaluated for response at 3 months • No TRAEs during retreatment with tovorafenib

BVZ, bevacizumab; IRI, Irinotecan

6. Sun Y, et al. Neuro Oncol. 2017;19(6):774-785.

Patient 3: 6-year-old boy with a *BRAF* fusion OPG



- Initiated treatment with tovorafenib 400 mg QW for 23.7 months. BOR of PR (-55.0%) on primary tovorafenib treatment; TTR 2.76 months
- Clinically relevant TRAEs included G2 rash, increased CPK, and decreased growth velocity, and G1 hair color change, dermatitis, facial and limb edema, hypocalcemia, hypophosphatemia, increased LFTs, alopecia, anemia, paronychia, and cheilitis
- While on a DH, progressed after 8.5 months (tumor size increase of 28%; considered slow progression and family elected to restart treatment). Rechallenged with tovorafenib 500 mg QW. At first assessment, tumor size decrease of 1.1%; no signs of clinical progression

Median age, years (range)	8 (3–16)	
Gender, n (%) Male Female	19 (58) 14 (42)	Rechallenged with tovorafenib, n
BRAF alteration status, n (%) BRAF fusion* <i>KIAA1549</i> ::BRAF fusion Other BRAF V600E mutation	29 (88) 24 (73) 5 (15) 4 (12)	Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. *Data for duration o
Prior systemic therapy Median lines (range) 1 line, n (%) 2 lines, n (%) ≥3 lines, n (%)	2 (1–7) 7 (21) 10 (30) 16 (49)	 While the FIREFLY-1 arm 1 data is tovorafenib provided encouraging 91% (30/33) remain on a DH

Percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding. *Includes 4 patients with BRAF duplication and 1 with BRAF rearrangement per fluorescence in situ hybridization or in situ hybridization

			 Retreatment ongoing for 3+ months a at 6 months 	
			 No reported AEs since retreatment with to 	
challenged with tovorafenib, n (%)	33	3 (9)	NR, not reported; OPG, optic pathway glioma.	
			Ackr	
tages may not add to 100% due to rounding. *Data for duration of DH not available for one patient as the dat	ta cutoff was prior to 30 days after last dos	Э.	Thank you to all patients, families, caregivers, and clinical investigators for their participation in this study.	
Conclusions			who are instrumental in making this work possible.	
			More information on the FIREFLY-1 clinical tria FIREFLY-1 is funded by	
Vhile the FIREFLY-1 arm 1 data in patients with r/r BRA ovorafenib provided encouraging durability of responses	<i>F</i> -altered pLGG are s s in patients off-treatm	till maturing, nent	R	
 91% (30/33) remain on a DH and only 1 pt had signs of clinical progression 		1. Ostrom QT, et al. <i>Neuro Oncol.</i> 2015;16 (Suppl 10):x1–x36.		
 The safety profile in patients who were rechallenged consistent with known safety profile of tovorafenib 	d with tovorafenib follo	wing a DH was	 Packer RJ, et al. <i>Neuro Oncol.</i> 2017;19(6):750-761. Ryall S, et al. <i>Cancer Cell.</i> 2020;37(4):569-583. Ryall S, Tabori U, Hawkins C. <i>Acta Neuropathol Commun.</i> 2020;8(1):30. 	

24 (16–29)

3.4 (0.3–10.6)

nonths at time of data cutoff, will be evaluated for response with tovorafenib commenced cknowledgments The phase 3 LOGGIC/FIREFLY-2 (<u>NCT05566795</u>) comparing tovorafenib with tudy. SOC chemotherapy in front-line BRAF-altered pLGG is enrolling globally clinical trial (NCT04775485) can be found at www.clinicaltrials.gov unded by Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. References Kilburn LB, et al. Nat Med. 2024;30(1):207-217 8. FDA grants accelerated approval to tovorafenib for patients with relapsed or

refractory BRAF-altered pediatric low-grade glioma. US Food & Drug Administration website. April 23, 2024. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approveddrugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-tovorafenib-patients-relapsed-or-refractorybraf-altered-pediatric. Accessed June 27, 2024.